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Abstract

Billions of people that suffer from some form of visual impairment, out of which a significant part is legally blind. Also, a basic

human need is mobility, but there aren’t enough traditional mobility solutions for all visually impaired persons, such as assistance

dogs, thus, for most legally blind people this need can’t be easily satisfied. A more scalable solution would be a digital one, which

involves computer vision.

Therefore, the main purpose of this paper is to provide a form of mobile assistive technology, based on object detection for

visually impaired persons.

Our object detector is implemented along the lines of You Only Look Once. We train on a subset of Open Images V4 dataset

composed of bus, car, and license plate, a single convolutional neural network. Also, we have developed an Android mobile

application that uses this object detector in order to visualize the bounding box predictions. The key feature of the application is

the accessible live object detection, in which the predictions are converted to sound and played using the mobile device speakers.
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1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization [10], the number of people suffering from some moderate to severe

form of distance vision impairment or blindness due to cataract or uncorrected refractive error is around 200 million,

out of the total of 2.2 billion people worldwide that are estimated to have problems with their vision. This represents

a significant segment of the population that has trouble performing daily tasks. These troubles can be alleviated

using assistive technologies that can help persons with disabilities maintain or enhance their capabilities. Given the

number of people that suffer from some form of visual impairment and the fact that computers can substitute visual

functionalities, computer vision has the potential to play the main part of assistive technology for visually impaired

persons (VIP), such that it helps the user to better understand the surrounding environment when performing different

kinds of tasks.
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One of the fundamental human needs is mobility and it can be achieved through public transport. This way of

traveling is especially important to the VIP, since they can’t drive. Therefore, the main ways a VIP can travel is by

public transport, ridesharing, or taxi, but they experience many difficulties on their journeys, often experiencing social

exclusion because they are limited in their choices of public transport [8].

Given that most mobility solutions don’t provide adequate accessibility facilities, we developed a mobile assistive

technology solution for VIP that provides spatial information by using a real-time object detection model inspired

by You Only Look Once [11]. More specifically, the user can get information about buses or cars from the auditory

information provided by the mobile application. All this information is extracted from the bounding boxes predicted

by the object detection model. Also, information about the license plates can be provided to help the user to identify

the vehicle.

The main contribution of this work is that we developed from scratch our own methodology based on YOLO and

and our own mobile vehicle detection pipeline to improve VIP access to public transport.

2. Placement in the broader field

Broadly speaking, there are two options of improving public transportation for VIPs: on one hand, classical methods

which are based on radio signals, and on the other hand, the more lightweight solutions based on computer vision.

The current approach to making buses more accessible to the VIP is a solution based on Radio Frequency Identification

(RFID) [3]. Basically, it uses wireless radio frequency transmissions to transfer data between two devices. The

disadvantage of this method is the complex infrastructure required that makes the bus, station, and the user dependent

upon each other.

Another approach would be to make the user independent of any infrastructure. This can be achieved by using a

mobile application that uses an object detection model that tells the user where the bus is located by using real-time

object detection.

Using this approach, Travis [13] is an Android add-on that is simply connected to the smartphone and uses its

computing power to execute several computer vision tasks, mainly object detection in order to provide information

about public transport and the surrounding environment.

Our solution would fall into this category, but using only an Android phone.We use object detection by developing

our own methodology based on YOLO.

3. Proposed solution

In Fig. 1 we present the main modules of our application. This is a proof of concept for a mobile assistive technology

that uses a model of object detection with deep learning, deployed on an Android application. The application

can perform object detection on static images and on the live feed from the mobile device’s camera, but our main

contribution is the accessible live object detection in which the bounding boxes are not drawn on an image, but are

converted to text and played on the mobile device speakers. In this way, a VIP could use the application in order to

gather information about the environment.We also use an OCR API to provide extra information about the text found

in the image, such as the license plate.

Fig. 1: Step by step pipeline of our solution
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The object detection system is run using the TensorflowLite Task Library, more specifically the object detector that

it’s already implemented. In order to use this class, the model must be stored in a .tflite file and it must meet some

compatibility requirements regarding the input and the output of the model, which are described on the official website

[16].

4. Object detection methodology

In this section we present the details of our object detection system implementation1, which is in Python 3.7 and

uses Tensorflow 2.3, including Keras.

4.1. Dataset

For training the object detection system we use the Open Images Dataset V4 [6], available at [5]. In total, the dataset

contains 9.2 million images, including 14.6 million bounding boxes across 600 classes on 1.74 million images. We

use only a subset of classes: bus, car, and license plate or vehicle registration plate as it is called in the original dataset.

The tool used to download the bus, car, and license plate classes and the corresponding bounding boxes is OIDv4

ToolKit [17]. The dataset is split into three parts: train (77.81%), validation (19.54%), and test (2.65%).

The dataset, as it is in its original form, is unbalanced. The car class has around 5-6 times the number of bounding

boxes the other classes have. This is problematic because the object detector tends to predict mostly cars.

We try to address this issue by using a technique called undersampling. The idea is that we try to balance the

numbers by removing instances of the dominant class.

We further improve the dataset by enhancing the license plate class using an existing highly performant license

plate detector. Therefore, we use an object detector based on YOLO [4] in order to add new bounding boxes if they

don’t already exist, because we observed that license plates are not annotated in a lot of images. We can see in Fig.

2, the number of boxes and images for each class. In total, we have added 3108 license plate bounding boxes to the

dataset.

Fig. 2: Undersampled and enhanced dataset bounding boxes distribution

Each image is resized so that its dimension is 416 × 416 and the bounding boxes are scaled accordingly.

The resizing helps the object detection task, as explained in [12] because this way we can split the image into a

grid of 13 × 13 cells of size 32 × 32 pixels so that there is a cell in the center that can detect the larger objects that are

centered in the middle, rather than have 4 cells in the middle that try to detect the same object.

Each image is associated with multiple bounding boxes and each cell is responsible for detecting multiple bounding

boxes through the use of anchors as explained in [12].We use three anchors per cell so that each cell can detect various

shapes and sizes. The anchor boxes are chosen using K-Means over the dataset.

For computing the distance between the centroid and bounding box the following formula is used, as in [12]:

distance(centroid, box) = 1 − IOU(centroid, box)

1 Full implementation on GitHub
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We represent the ground truth as in [12].

4.2. Model

The object detection model is inspired by YOLOv2 [12]. The neural network is fully convolutional and is composed

of three parts: backbone, neck, and head. For the backbone, we use MobileNetv2 [15] because of its flexibility and

the fact that it uses depthwise convolutions, inverted residual blocks, and linear bottlenecks, which all help with

performance, thus consuming less power, which is crucial for mobile solutions. Also, we use pretrained weights on

ImageNet [1] to benefit from transfer learning.

For the rest of the model, we use convolution blocks which are composed of a convolution layer that uses HeNormal

initialization, batch normalization, and optionally LeakyReLU activation function. In general, we choose an alpha of

0.1 for LeakyReLU.

The neck is inspired by U-Net [14]. The aim is to add features from earlier layers to the result through skip layers

and upsample blocks that use transposed convolutions, followed by LeakyReLu and batch normalization. This helps

the network to ”see” the image at multiple resolutions as explained in the fine-grained features section in [12].

On top of the upsamlple blocks, a dropout layer is used for regularization in order to reduce overfitting. Another

reason for adding this layer at this specific position is that the upsample blocks have the most trainable parameters,

compared to other areas of the model. After the dropout layer, a convolutional block and two inverted residual blocks

are added, which help in refining the feature maps.

The head is composed of a convolution layer that has 24 filters in our case, so the final output is 13 × 13 × 3 × 8

after a reshape layer. This is because we use three anchors and three classes. This can vary if other datasets are used.

Also, an additional input that represents all the true bounding boxes is directly added to the output. This is an

implementation trick that only helps in the computation of the loss because, even though each image is associated

with a specific anchor, it is not restricted to be predicted only by that anchor. If the IOU threshold between the

predicted box from another anchor and one of the true bounding boxes is high enough, that prediction is considered

correct. During normal inference, a dummy array is passed for this input.

In total, our model has around 2 million parameters, out of which around 1.3 million are from MobileNet.

4.3. Loss

During training, we optimize a composed loss function adapted from [9]:

L = Lloc + Lob j + Lclass

The first component is basically a sum-squared error, handling the localization loss:

Lloc =

λcoord

NLob j
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j=0

Lob j

i j ・ [(xi j − ˆxi j)2 + (yi j − ˆyi j)2+
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Where Lob j

i j =

(

1 Ci j = 1

0 otherwise is an indicator function in which Ci j means that there is an actual object in the i’th

cell and j’th anchor. NLob j just represents the number of actual object in the image and it is given by the following

formula:

PS 2

i=0

PBj

=0 Lob j

i j . The center of the bounding box is denoted using the x for the horizontal position and y for
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the vertical position. The width is denoted with w and the height with h. The square root of the width and height is

used because, otherwise, the error in small and large bounding boxes is treated the same.

The second component is related to the objectness of a bounding box, which represents the probability that an

object is present in that bounding box:

Lob j =

λob j
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Lob j

i j (IOUground truthi, j

predictioni, j
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Where

Lnoob j

i j =



1 maxi′ j′ IOUGTi′ , j′

predi, j

< IOUt and Ci j = 0

0 otherwise

is an indicator function which is one only if a predicted bounding

box that does not appear in the ground truth in the respective cell and anchor, has the IOU overlap with any ground

truth bounding box less than IOUt. Basically, if the prediction has an IOU overlap with any bounding box larger than

IOUt, but it does not appear in the ground truth, then it is considered correct and it’s not penalized, otherwise, it is

not considered an object and it must increase the loss. Here we use the extra output explained in the previous section.

Ncon f =

PS 2

i=0

PBj

=0 Lob j

i j + Lnoob j

i j (1 − Lob j

i j ) counts the number of bounding boxes from the ground truth, but also the

boxes that predict objects where there shouldn’t be any. Therefore, the first part penalizes the errors in the confidence

scores for objects that should be predicted, and the second part penalizes the boxes that predict an object that should

not be there.

The last component represents the loss from the class probabilities, and when multiple classes are involved, usually

cross-entropy loss is used:

Lclass = −

λclass

NLob j

XS 2
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Lob j

i j

X
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pci

jlog( ˆpci

j)

Most grid cells do not contain any boxes. Therefore, in order to balance the confidence scores, λcoord = 5 and

λnoob j = 0.5 are added in order to increase the loss from bounding box predictions and decrease the loss from confidence

predictions. Also, λob j = 2 and λclass = 3 are added to control the loss from the objectness and class losses. For

IOUt we choose a value of 60%.

4.4. Data augmentation

We apply various photometric data augmentation techniques represented in Fig. 3.

(a) Original (b) Hue (c) Brightness (d) Contrast (e) Saturation

Fig. 3: Photometric data augmentation techniques
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In Fig. 4 we present other data augmentation techniques that we have used. In Fig. 4a we represent the Cutout

technique, introduced in [2]. The idea is to make the pixels from a random patch in the image black. This way the

network should adapt to recognize objects even if they are partially visible. We also follow the recommendation from

[2], which states that the patch doesn’t have to fit fully in the image. This means that if the center of the patch falls

near one of the edges of the image, only the part that overlaps with the images is blacked out.

(a) Cutout (b) Mosaic

Fig. 4: Other data augmentation techniques

In practice, for each image, we apply a random data augmentation technique from Fig. 3, and we apply all these

data augmentation techniques only during training, and all of them, except Mosaic, are vectorized in order to be

computed on the GPU.

4.5. Training

For training, we use a cosine annealing scheduler for the learning rate, described in [7], which follows the following

formula:

LRepoch = ηmin +

1

2

(ηmax − ηmin) ・ (1 + cos(

epoch

T

・ π)) (1)

We train the model for 50 epochs on a GPU using early stop with the patience of 5 epochs and a delta of 1e−4. This

means that if the model does not improve after some epochs, by the given delta, the training stops because we don’t

want to overtrain, in order to both save time and reduce overfitting also.

At the beginning of the epoch, the learning rate is set, following the cosine annealing formula, and at the end, the

images are shuffled in order for the network to see the images in a different order, each epoch. Also, during training,

before any processing, random photometric data augmentation is used, followed by cutout and mosaic as explained in

a previous section.

During a normal training session, the weights of the pretrained model are frozen, meaning that they do not update.

We do this in order to not break the knowledge stored in the weights. But, during a fine tuning session, which occurs

after a normal training session, we set a very small learning rate and unfreeze the pretrained model. By doing this, the

previously frozen weights are updated to better fit our dataset. Usually, a fine tuning epoch takes much longer, because

the pretrained model has the largest share in parameters of the total number of parameters.

4.6. Inference

The inference represents a pipeline of processing an image and getting the predictions for it. Firstly, there is

preprocessing, which consists of normalizing the images in the range [-1, 1]. This is required by the MobileNetV2

backbone model. Also, here the data augmentation occurs, before the normalization.

The second step is passing the image through the actual neural network.

Postprocessing is the final step. Firstly, the bounding boxes are extracted from the resulting tensor of size C × C ×

B × (5 + C). Basically, for each cell in the C × C grid, we extract the B ・ (5 + C) raw bounding boxes. Then the raw

values are converted as in [12] to obtain the actual values. These boxes are filtered based on their score.
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Usually, there are a lot of overlapping boxes that predict the same object. This is solved using Non-maximum

Suppression (NMS) which prunes away extra bounding boxes by ordering the boxes by their scores, descending.

Then each box is kept only if they have a low enough IOU with any previously kept bounding box with the same

label. In this way, if there are a lot of boxes with the same label in some area, only the one with the highest score is

kept.

5. Experimental results

In this section we present our final results on the subset of Open Images Dataset V4 [6], comprising three classes:

bus, car, and vehicle registration plate.

To compute the exact improvements, we consider the maximum value from the mAP curve in Fig. 5. We detail the

exact improvements after hyperparameter tuning, in percents, in Table 1.

Table 1: Improvement after hyperparameter tuning in percents for each class in AP and mAP for the average case

Class Car Bus License plate Average

Before tuning 59.52% 84.07% 51.25% 64.95%

After tuning 62.54% 90.23% 55.09% 69.29%

Improvement 3.02% ↑ 6.16% ↑ 3.84% ↑ 4.34% ↑

After tuning the hyperparameters, we perform fine tuning, meaning that we unfreeze the backbone of the model

and further train with a very small learning rate. For fine tuning, we have used ηmax = 10−5 and ηmin = 10−8.

(a) mAP (b) Bus (c) Car (d) License plate

Fig. 5: AP and mAP for the final model (orange), the model before hyperparameter tuning (blue), and the model after fine-tuning (green)

In Fig. 5 we can see that we achieved small improvements only by fine tuning and in Table 2 we detail the exact

values obtained and the improvements. For the bus class there is a slight decrease, but in general the improvements

are positive.

Table 2: Improvement after fine tuning in percents for each class in AP and mAP for the average case

Class Car Bus License plate Average

Before fine tuning 62.54% 90.23% 55.09% 69.29%

After fine tuning 64.04% 90.01% 56.68% 70.03%

Improvement 1.5% ↑ 0.22% ↓ 1.59% ↑ 0.74% ↑

In terms of speed, our solution achieves approximately 5 FPS on a Samsung A70 mobile phone.

We present the result on some images from Cluj-Napoca in Fig. 6. Green bounding boxes represent cars, red

bounding boxes represent buses, and blue bounding boxes represent registration plates.
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Fig. 6: Images from Cluj-Napoca

5.1. Hyperparameter tuning

Hyperparameters are important because the performance of an algorithm can be improved by simply tuning the

hyperparameters. We select some hyperparameters and explore how they impact the mAP. Also, in order to better

comprehend the differences, we compute the mAP at different score thresholds, which results in a curve that we use

to compare different models. In general, we use a true positive threshold of 50% and a NMS threshold of 30%.

We start by analyzing the scheduler. In the formula from 1, we use for the maximum learning rate a value of 10−3

and for the minimum learning rate a value of 10−6. We compare how different values for the restart epoch parameter

T influence the mAP. We detail in Table 3 the value for T used in training each model. In general, the mAP value in

the table is the maximum on the mAP curve across various scores.

We can tell from Table 3 that a value of 60 yields the best results. These models were trained for 50 epochs, and

we can see that in general, values under 50 for the restart epoch give slightly worse results, meaning that increasing

and decreasing the learning rate doesn’t help that much. Therefore, further on, we use 60 as the restart epoch.

Table 3: Restart epoch values

Model v29 v30 v31 v32 v33 v34

T 100 50 25 10 60 75

mAP 67.7% 67.17% 64.23% 66.36% 67.72% 65.74%

Next, we see how the batch size influences the performance. In 4 we detail the batch size value used in training

each model. In our case, the smallest batch size yields best results. Usually, a larger batch size should give better

results, but our dataset is relatively small, and this could be a reason why a small batch size is better. Our model is

also very small, thus it would benefit from more precise changes given by a smaller batch size.

Table 4: Batch size values

Model v33 v35 v36

Batch Size 32 16 8

mAP 67.72% 62.88% 68.91%

The dropout probability is important because of its regularization effect.We study various values presented in Table

5. We go further on with a value of 30% because it is the best in the average case.

Table 5: Dropout values

Model v36 v37 v38 v39

Dropout 30% 40% 50% 20%

mAP 68.81% 65.64% 68.22% 67.33%

So far, we have tuned parameters related to training or to the model itself. Finally, we will see how the data

augmentation hyperparameters affect the performance.
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For the cutout data augmentation, we consider as a hyperparameter the length of the side of the cutout square. We

can see in Table 6 the different values that we have chosen to see the influence of the size of the cutout patch. The

lowest and the highest values yield the worst results, but increasing the cutout until 192 × 192 also increases the mAP.

Further on we use this value.

Table 6: Cutout values

Model v39 v40 v41 v42 v43

Cutout 64 32 128 192 256

mAP 67.33% 63.03% 68.79% 69.29% 59.33%

For the mosaic data augmentation, we study the influence of the probability that it is applied and the minimum size

that one of the four images can take. For example, when the minimum size is 50, then each image will have a size of

at least 50 × 50. In Table 7 we describe the values for the minimum size, where a value of 50 gives the best results,

and the lowest and the highest values give the worst results.

Table 7: Mosaic minimum size values

Model v42 v44 v45

Size 50 100 25

mAP 69.29% 66.25% 67.54%

In Table 8 we have the values that we have used for the probability that mosaic data augmentation is applied during

training. We can see that a low mosaic probability such as 40% results in low mAP. In the average case, the models

with 60% and 80% probability are close in terms of mAP, but because the mAP for the bus class is much larger with

a probability of 80% we consider this value to be the best.

Table 8: Mosaic probability values

Model v42 v46 v47

Probability 80% 60% 40%

mAP 69.29% 69.6% 68.11%

Bus AP 90.23% 88.16% 87.98%

In Table 9 we present the hyperparameters used in the data augmentation techniques presented in Fig. 3.

Table 9: Photometric data augmentation hyperparameters

Random Hue delta 0.5

Random Saturation lower 5

upper 10

Random Brightness delta 0.3

Random contrast lower 1

upper 2

We have tried to use three, four and five centroids, or clusters, in order to generate the anchors, but in all cases the

outer boxes are the same and in the case of four and five anchors, only smaller and smaller anchors are added which

are not different enough from each other, therefore we choose to use the variant with three anchors.

6. Conclusions and future work

In conclusion, our solution aims to ease the use of public transport by VIPs. The first step that we have taken in

doing this is creating an object detection system that can recognize buses, cars, or vehicle registration plates. This part
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is implemented using a custom version of YOLOv2 [12] and we obtain, on the test set, a mAP of 70.03%, and for the

bus class, we obtain an average precision of 90.01%, for the car class 64.04% and for the vehicle registration plate

56.68%, with a speed of around 5 FPS on a mobile device. We have also trained a model on the COCO dataset that

achieves around 0.4% mAP on the test dataset. The second part is represented by the mobile application, which serves

both as an object detection system visualizer and as a proof of concept for assistive technology for the VIPs that uses

object detection. This is illustrated by the accessible live object detection, in which the predictions are not visualized,

but converted to sound and played using the mobile device speakers.

There are several parts that can be improved, such that the proposed method attains state of the art results both

in terms of accuracy and computational complexity, and we leave them as future work. Firstly, the dataset could be

enhanced with images with bad lights or weather, or night images. Recent advances have shown that data-centric AI

yields better results than model-centric AI, therefore the dataset could use more attention, in the sense that bad ground

truth annotations should be found and fixed. Other techniques presented in the other YOLO papers such as training

with images of different sizes, could prove useful.
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